IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.737 OF 2005

IN

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO.30 OF 2004

Rajendra Shrinath Pandey ...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ...Respondents

.....

Mr.C.K.Talekar for Applicant.

Mr.K.V.Saste, A.P.P. for Respondent No.1.

Mr.Ashok Girkar for Respondent No.2.

.....

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

MARCH 18, 2005.

P.C.

1. Heard Counsel for the parties. Perused the reply filed by Respondent No.2 opposing delay of 13 days.

2. The cause made out in the Application has not been disputed in the reply as filed, but the

reply proceeds to oppose the Application on different grounds, which are not relevant for considering the ground of delay.

3. As the averments made in the Application regarding the cause of delay have remained uncontroverted, delay being of 13 days, in the interest of justice, is condoned. Application allowed in terms of prayer clause (a).

4. Revision Application be placed for admission on 21st March 2005.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.